

**Post Graduate Diploma in Management, 2014-16**  
**Advanced Management Science**  
**DM207B**  
**Trimester-II, End Term Examination, December 2014**

Time: 2 Hrs and 30 Minutes

Marks: 50

Roll No. \_\_\_\_\_

**Instruction:** Students are required to write Roll No on every page of the question paper, writing anything except the Roll No will be treated as **Unfair Means**. In case of rough work please use answer sheet.

*Section A*

(Attempt any three 5 x 3=15 marks)

QA1. Write your understanding on 100% rule of Sensitivity Analysis

QA2. Test the consistency of the following pair wise comparison matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1.000 & 0.500 & 0.200 \\ 2.000 & 1.000 & 0.500 \\ 5.000 & 2.000 & 1.000 \end{pmatrix}$$

QA3. The following are the four goals of the city council of a small city.

Goal 1:  $550x_p + 35x_f + 55x_c + 0.75x_g \geq 16$

Goal 2:  $35x_f \leq 0.1(550x_p + 35x_f + 55x_c + 0.75x_g)$

Goal 3:  $55x_c \leq 0.2(550x_p + 35x_f + 55x_c + 0.75x_g)$

Goal 4:  $x_g \leq 2$

Develop a LP which minimizes an objective function considering all above four goals when all goals are of equal weight. What do you mean by non preemptive and preemptive method of solving a goal programming problem?

QA4. Write the dual of the following primal problem

Minimize  $3x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3$

Subject to

$$2x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 = 1$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 \geq 8$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 6$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

QA5. Show that the set of all feasible solutions to the linear programming problem is a convex set.

**Section B**

*(Attempt any two 10 x2=20 marks)*

QB1. Consider a small company that produces a single product in two plants and serves customers in five different regions. The company has been using a make-to-order policy of producing the product only in the quantities needed to fill the orders that have come in from the various regions. However, because of the problems caused by the sporadic production schedule, management has decided to smooth out the production rate and ship the product to one or more storage warehouses, which then will use inventory to fill the incoming regional orders. Management now needs to decide where to locate the company's new warehouse(s). There are three locations under consideration. For each location, there is a fixed monthly cost associated with leasing and operating the warehouse there. Furthermore, each potential warehouse location has a maximum capacity for monthly shipments restricted primarily by the number of trucking docks at the site. The product costs \$400 to produce at plant 1 and \$300 to produce at plant 2. The shipping cost from each plant to each potential warehouse location is shown in the first table below. The fixed leasing and operating cost (if open), the shipping costs, and the capacity (maximum monthly shipments) of each potential warehouse location are shown in the second table below. The monthly demand in each of the customer regions is expected to be 200, 225, 100, 150, and 175 units, respectively. Formulate and solve a binary integer programming (BIP) model in a spreadsheet to determine which warehouse(s) should be used and how the product should be distributed from plant to warehouse(s) to customer.

	<i>Shipping Cost (per unit)</i>			<i>Capacity (units/month)</i>
	<i>WH #1</i>	<i>WH #2</i>	<i>WH #3</i>	
<i>Plant 1</i>	\$25	\$50	\$75	500
<i>Plant 2</i>	\$50	\$75	\$25	400

*Shipping Costs and Capacity of the Plants*

	<i>Fixed Cost (per month)</i>	<i>Shipping Cost (per unit)</i>					<i>Capacity (units/mo.)</i>
		<i>Region 1</i>	<i>Region 2</i>	<i>Region 3</i>	<i>Region 4</i>	<i>Region 5</i>	
<i>WH#1</i>	\$50,000	\$30	\$70	\$75	\$55	\$40	700
<i>WH#2</i>	\$30,000	\$55	\$30	\$45	\$45	\$70	500
<i>WH#3</i>	\$70,000	\$70	\$30	\$50	\$60	\$55	1000

*Fixed Cost, Shipping Costs, and Capacity of the Warehouses*



QB2. Formulate the LP to obtain the priority of the following pair wise comparison matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1.000 & 0.500 & 0.200 \\ 2.000 & 1.000 & 0.500 \\ 5.000 & 2.000 & 1.000 \end{pmatrix}$$

QB3. Burn-Off, a manufacturer of diet drinks is planning to introduce a drink that will magically burn away fat. The drink is bit expensive but Burn-Off guarantees that a person using this diet plan will lose up to 50 pounds in just three weeks. The drink is made up of four "mystery" ingredients (which we will call A, B, C and D). The plan calls for a person to consume at least 36 ounce per day. Each of the four ingredients contains different levels of three chemical compounds (which we will call X, Y and Z). Health regulations mandate that dosage consumed per day should contain minimum prescribed levels of chemicals X and Y and should not exceed maximum prescribed levels for the third chemical Z. The composition of the four ingredients in terms of the chemical compounds (units per ounce) is shown below along with the unit cost of prices of the ingredients. Burn-Off wants to find the optimal way to mix the ingredients to create the drink, at minimum cost per daily dose. Decision variables: Let A, B, C, and D denote the number of ounces of ingredients A, B, C, and D to use, respectively.

Units of Chemical per Ounce of Ingredient					
Chemical	Ingredient				Requirement
	A	B	C	D	
X	3	4	8	10	$\geq 280$ units
Y	5	3	6	6	$\geq 200$ units
Z	10	25	20	40	$\leq 1050$ units

\$ per ounce of ingredient			
0.40	0.20	0.60	0.30

The computer outputs of the sensitivity report for the problem are as follows.

Adjustable Cells

Cell	Name	Final Value	Reduced Cost	Objective Coefficient	Allowable Increase	Allowable Decrease
\$B\$3	DVs A	10.25	0	0.4	0.061111111	0.25
\$C\$3	DVs B	0	0.06875	0.2	1E+30	0.06875
\$D\$3	DVs C	4.125	0	0.6	1.5	0.073333333
\$E\$3	DVs D	21.625	0	0.3	0.084615385	1E+30

Constraints

Cell	Name	Final Value	Shadow Price	Constraint R.H. Side	Allowable Increase	Allowable Decrease
\$F\$5	min req LHS	36	0.375	36	16.5	1.277777778
\$F\$6	X LHS	280	0.0875	280	41	11
\$F\$7	Y LHS	205.75	0	200	5.75	1E+30
\$F\$8	Z LHS	1050	-0.02375	1050	47.14285714	346

Questions:

- Formulate LP as maximization of profit.

Based on the sensitivity report answer the followings:

- What is the impact on cost if Burn-Off insists on using 1 ounce of ingredients B to make the drink?
- There is some uncertainty in the cost of ingredient C. How sensitive is the current optimal solution?
- Burn-Off can decrease the minimum requirement for chemical X by 5 units (from 280 to 275) provided the maximum limit allowed for chemical Z is reduced to 1000 units (that is, reduced by 50 units). Is this trade-off cost-effective for Burn-Off to implement?



